App. F. IX-4

193 0-31
(G. M.)

File No. 44-30

Serial Nos. 17, 35, 46, 59 + 64

Previous References

G. M. 44-30 (1, 3, 4 + 6)
" 14-28 (3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 + 10)
" 21-26 (3, 5, 8, 9, 12, 24-do.)
" 50-27 (4-6)
13-25 (12, 15, 18-19)

Later References

G. M 5-31 S4 18-21.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for February 1931

SUBJECT.

Re: the remuneration for the Estt: & other charges incurred for preparing the Mysore Gazetteer: etc.

Sl. 64. To No G. 8204-5. Dt. 3/2/31.
Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao.
The Compiler, Mys: Gt. Sectn

Sanctions a lump-sum grant of Rs. 500/- to Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao towards the Estt. Charges for Gazetteer works.

General and Revenue Secretariat Gm

File No. 49 of 1930.

Serial No.

17 From the Editor Mysore Gazetteer
No G 4750/30 5-11-30
Request for reasons for a reconsideration of the order of 17th Oct 30 and sanction of the moiety of Rs 934 towards the establishment charges of the Gazetteer. ×

K 1) Sub. It is seen from the Report of the Supdt Govt Printing (in the file put up) read subsequent to the Govt order refd to that proofs of the Gazetteer volumes were being read in the press ~~Consulted till~~ so late as 9.9.30. The present request for a reconsideration of the orders re ~~the establ~~ the moiety of the establishment charges viz Rs 934 for the period from 1st Dec 28 to 31.8.30 is for orders

S 2) P.C. put up for. 21-26-134

17/11 bM 17/11

S 3) Sub. The file refd to is put up.

13/11 4) The sum of Rs 500/- was sanctioned as a final ~~payment~~ remuneration for staff & was payable after the completion of the work & the was made clear to him. But on his special request Mr H. Rao was permitted to draw the same earlier.

Flag B

G

13/11 5) Mr. Hayavadana Rao wants a reconsideration of the order of 17th Oct 30 & the payment of a moiety i.e. Rs 934 towards the Establishment charges.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

6) [illegible] entitled to [illegible]

7) [illegible]

[illegible] organisation [illegible] is seen in [illegible] delay only [illegible]

8) [illegible] fixed at a lump sum for establishment payable at the end of the work but the organisation has been allowed to draw it in advance of final payment.

9) The present request is for orders

13/11

Chief Secy)

(c) [illegible] [illegible] Rs 30 [illegible] transfer [illegible] consideration of this order and [illegible] that he may be given Rs 984 which [illegible] of the [illegible] charge said to have been incurred by him [illegible] 1-12-29 to 31-8-30.

(d) In consideration [illegible] that [illegible] for [illegible] of [illegible] and [illegible] which he may be [illegible] to have maintained an establishment for the work some further concession is

3094

App. F. III—2

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. of 193 .

Serial No.

to be expended in this fashion, he may be allowed one half or one third of the amount now prayed for by him.

Bu.
20/11

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
21 NOV. 1930
No. 4327

Second member

(12) On going thro' the file I find that a lump sum grant of Rs 500 ~~for staff~~ was sanctioned for maintenance of staff grant after 1st July 1928. But wanted the work to be completed by the end of Nov 28. It was assumed that this would be done & the lump sum payment was fixed on this basis. There is no reason to pay anything more for staff, unless the work could not be completed by Nov 28, for reasons for which Mr Hayavadana Rao was not responsible. Please examine this point carefully from ~~why was the work not completed~~ the available records (if necessary, referring to the Press). Please also see whether during the period July to ~~Dec~~ Nov 28, Mr H Rao brought to notice that delay was occurring for causes for which he was not responsible, & that the staff would have to be (or was being) continued beyond Nov. 28

22. 11. 30

Bu. 24/11

24/11

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02553—G P B—50,000—8 7 30

13) Dft [illegible] Govt Press in [illegible] forwarded for appl.

K / 2- [illegible] Dft sig [illegible] 28/11

14) Issue [illegible] 1.12.30

Forwarded [illegible]

No. P. 2118 d 27. Dec 1930

~~Forward~~ and [illegible] Reviews Re letter of Mr A ~~Rao~~ for the printing of the [illegible]

Reviews [illegible] at para 12 [illegible] both Mr A. Rao and the Supdt. Govt Printing authorities are [illegible] each other for the inordinate delay in the issue of the [illegible] volumes within the stipulated time. But it appears that the [illegible] both appear to be [illegible] beyond their control. Mr A. Rao has on his part [illegible] to abridge the several volumes as directed in [illegible] 27. Most of the volumes has

3094
4214

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Gzy | File No. 49 of 193

Serial No.

exceeded the limit of 1000 pages fixed for each volume and especially Vol II which has become so bulky as to necessitate its being divided into several parts. This has naturally caused extra work ~~but H Rao~~ resulting in delay in the issue of the several volumes.

As regards the Supdt. of Printing he states that the ~~delay~~ Gazetteer work had to be executed in the usual course along with other ordinary works of the press giving preference to immediate and urgent work such as Durbar, R.A., L.C. and budget sessions. Consequently there was some delay in the press also.

himself

9

In his ~~letter~~ dated 4th Oct 1928, Mr. H. Rao while explaining the position of the progress of the Gazetteer volumes states that the ~~work is~~ progress had to be slow ~~are~~ owing to delay in the Press and necessarily he has to continue the staff.

For orders

MZ
8/1

NOTE – Contn. Sheet

4214 6

Serial No.

O

In his letter dated 26th June 1928, Mr. Hayavadana Rao distinctly stated that "on a fair and reasonable calculation, the minimum time that is required for finishing all the work that remains would be not less than three months", and requested sanction for the continuance of the then existing establishment for a period of three months from 1st July 1928.

H

In his subsequent letter dated 13th September 1928, he asked for an establishment costing Rs. 520 so as to complete the work before the end of November 1928. Again, in his letter dated 3rd October 1928, he said "practically all the work is over excepting the index to Volumes 2 to 5, and the index could not obviously be done unless the printed forms are made available after printing is over".

W

P

In another letter, dated 4th October 1928, Mr. Hayavadana Rao said that "the work that remains is mainly confined to indexing of part of Volume 2 and Volumes 4 and 5 in full ".

In all the above letters, Mr. Hayavadana Rao made Government understand that so far as he was concerned, all the work had been completed except for indexing work, for which the continuance of the establishment till the end of November 1928 was absolutely necessary. But as a matter of fact, his portion of the work of sending manuscripts to the Press was not complete

4214

App. F. III—2] 8

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. of 193

Serial No.

K

Whatever the causes that led to the delay in getting the volumes ready, it is true that the last batch of indexes & proofs was received in the Press on ~~18th December~~ 9th September 1930 as reported by the Superintendent, Government Press, in his letter dated 16th October 1930. Mr. Hayavadana Rao had therefore to maintain ~~at least a typist~~ an establishment for about 21 months more. He says that the cost of the establishment came to Rs. 1,868. Of this, he claims only a moiety. Granting that he had maintained part-time staff at about Rs. 50 per month,* Mr. Hayavadana Rao will have spent about Rs. 1050 for 21 months in all. Roughly, perhaps, Rs. 800 may be sanctioned as a special case.

*for passing proofs Indexing & typing

9.1.31.

Chief Secy)

Rs 500 will perhaps be ample

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
10 JAN. 1931

10/1/31

Second Member)

A. I agree with the Chief Secy

12/1/31

Dewan
through Fin Secy

12/1/31

14.1.31.

14.1.31

Source to be pointed out

14.1.31

17.1.31

Draft G.O. ~~for~~ suggesting the source for meeting the cost.

19/1

17/1/31

DE 3883 – E
10 JAN 1931

6130
10/1

5094
14/1

R 2463

13/1/31

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

[illegible]

~~[illegible]~~ Out of Rs 28000/-

A sum of Rs 9000/- ~~[illegible]~~ only is available under Contingencies. If the present exp. of Rs 500/- is to be met on this a/c, an addl grant to that extent also will perhaps be necessary (vide also orders of the Chief Secretary of 15.10.30 at para 14 in file 4 of 30 Nos 1.3.4.6)

M. 21.1.31 21/1

Ch. Secy. 21/1/31

The remuneration of Rs 1000/- to Mr Hayavadana Rao was met from the Sectt Contingencies for this year.

This amount may also be paid from the same source. Before issuing the order, it is better to inform Mr Hayavadana Rao demi-officially that the claim was not in order but in view of the special circumstances urged, Govt will be prepared to pay this amount in final settlement and no further reference in the matter can be considered.

23/1

Infmd sd 24/1

4214

4871

(10)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 193

Serial No.

Draft D.O. to Mr. C. H. Rao as ~~suggested~~ ~~pls the~~ C. Secy's Note subd. . .

JHL 26/1/31

Issue and wait for about a week for issuing the G.O.

RD 26/1

39 To Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao.

No. S. 7930 dt 27-1-31

States that in view of the special circumstances urged by him, Govt. will be prepared to pay a lumpsum of Rs. 500 in final settlement and that no further ref. in the matter can be considered.

Fair copy 27/1

GENERAL 27 JAN. 1931

40 From the same

No. S. 1606 dt. 29.1.31

acknowledges the receipt of the letter ref. to above.

The G.O. may now issue. Please put up the file.

Sd. W. D. 31/1

Said. The file is put up.

If appd the G.O. will now issue

31/1

RD 31/1

Chief Secy.

Issue now 2/2

Today, if possible

RD 2/2

CHIEF SECRETARY 1931

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

Fair: Secy. has agreed (vide part f)

R. Com: 1645 3/2/31

Mr. Hayavadana Rao Commissioner

Issue 3.2.31

Editor, "Mysore Gazetteer."
"Mysore Economic Journal,"
19, Gundopunt Buldgs., Siddicutta,
Dated, Bangalore, 5th November 1930

No. G.1750.

Gen. 44-30-17
Gen 3094

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Public Offices, BANGALORE.

CHIEF SECRETARY
8 NOV 1930

Genl
10/11
4001

B S

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No.G.4083-4/G.M. 44-30-6 dated 17th October 1930 sanctioning a remuneration of Rs.1000/-for certain additional work done in connection with the revision of the "Mysore Gazetteer," I have the honour to state that the claim for a moiety of the establishment - charges actually incurred is a fair and just one and I accordingly pray for a re-consideration of the part of the above order relating to it. The exact circumstances under which - this claim is preferred are these:- The whole writing was - over long before 1st December 1928 but the printing work was held up owing to a variety of causes over which I had no control whatever. Consequently, two items of work were held over owing to this delay in the Press. These are:- (1) the passing of the proofs which could only be done as the work progressed in the Press and proofs were furnished; and (2) the making up of Indices for the respective Volumes, which were thus held - over and which Indices could only be prepared after the whole printing work relating to each volume had been completed. I may state that the last Index in the series had to be sent only a couple of months back, because until then the last page of - printing had not been carried out. I am most anxious to assure Government that I am only stating the bare facts and not putting any blame on the Press, for the Press has worked very heavily in connection with this large undertaking, though it has had frequently to keep it by owing to more emergent demands on it -- such as Bangalore Disturbances Committee Report; Legislative Council Reports; Representative Assembly Reports etc., etc

I may

I may state that owing to the small amount of work that still remains in the Press, there is one hand still working in this office in connection with it. In these circumstances, Government will, doubtless, appreciate the actual conditions under which the staff has had to be continued, though only in an attenuated form, up to the present moment and which may have to be continued for a slightly longer period yet. I feel sanguine that though there has been no definite understanding in this matter, Government will, in view of the exact circumstances narrated above, be graciously pleased to consider it and sanction the moiety of Rs.934/-claimed up to only the 31st of August 1930.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C Hayavadana Rao

Editor, “ Mysore Gazetteer.”

BVN

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT..

Confl/

Section G.U.

File No. 44-30

Serial No. 35

No. G. 5929/ Encl 1

Diary No. of letter replied to..............................

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *193* .

To

The Supdt Govt Printing

Bangalore

Date of issue 5/12-30

Signed by Mr. C.S.S.C

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. G1750 dated the 5th Nov 30 from the Editor Mys Gaz Gazetteer bringing to the notice of Govt the delay in the press in printing the several volumes of the Mysore Gazetteer and to request you to favour Govt with your ~~his~~ views on the matter.

List of enclosures..............................

Copied by..............................

Examined by..............................

[over Yours

G.U.
1.12.30

28/11
Chief Secy

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

Rn 44-30-46

CONFIDENTIAL.

No. P. 2115

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
GOVERNMENT PRINTING IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, dated the 26th Dec. 1930.

27th

530

GM 1211

[illegible]IEF SECRETARY
29 DEC. 1930

FROM

B. PUTTAIYA, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent, Government Printing,
Bangalore.

To

B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government, Development Departments,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No: G. 5929/ G.M. 44-30-35, dated 6th instant, requesting to be furnished with my views on Mr. Hayavadana Rao's letter to Government, regarding the printing of the Mysore Gazetteer, I have the honour to state that the progress in the printing of the Gazetteer has been reported to Government from time to time in my several letters noted below;-

No: 1067, dated 11-10-27. ×
1980, dated 26-12-27.
2446, dated 2--2-28.
2457, dated 4--2-28.
4175, dated 28-6--28.
635, dated 28-8--29.
3120, dated 20-4-29.

as well as in the successive Administration Reports of the Government Press commencing from 1926-27 onwards.

The work was at first estimated to comprise two volumes of 1,000 pages each and on that basis, work was started, but during the successive stages of its progress, the manuscripts were found to be so bulky that the first volume of the old Gazetteer was ordered to be issued in four volumes and the second volume into two volumes as per G. O. No: G. 1986-8/G.M. 13-25-19, dated 20th August 1926. Later on, it was thought advisable to curtail the matter and so it was ordered in G. O. No: G. 62-56-8/G.M. 21-26-30, dated 3rd December 1927 that the entire -- Gazetteer should appear only in four volumes of 1,000pages each and that the Editor should revise the matter so as to compress it within that limit. But evidently this has not been found possible as, in the end, it has turned out into eight volumes of various dimensions comprising 6,500 pages in all, as noted below, thus exceeding all previous estimates:-

Vol.I	...	493 pages.	
Vol. II.	Part I ..	464 pages	3,294
	Part II .	958 pages	
	Part III.	1,058 pages	
	Part IV .	814 pages	
Vol. III.	...	436 pages.	
Vol. IV	...	800 pages.	
Vol. V	...	1,500 "	
	Total -	6,523 pages.	

The bulk of the Gazetteer has therefore increased three times as much as the original estimate and one and half times over the second estimate.

In a work of this growing magnitude where there was no definiteness about its finality, composing and printing increased abnormally in the Press, paper got exhausted twice, sooner than was expected, and as it had to be ordered from England, printing had to be deferred until its receipt, and binding and gilding work which was at first expected to cover four to eight thousand copies increased to the extent of binding 16,000 copies and the necessary materials like calico, gold leaf, etc., had to be ordered three or four times as soon as the previous supplies got exhausted.

Moreover, the work was executed in the usual course along with other works of the Press as no special establishment was sanctioned for the purpose. Consequently, the works calling for most immediate and pressing attention had perforce to be given preference. Two graduate proof readers were, however, sanctioned in the initial stages for a year or so till November 1927 and since then, the proof reading which was not only difficult but voluminous was done by the Press staff alone in conjunction with other works.

Added to these difficulties, the manuscript of Volume II, viz., the Historical Volume, which was estimated to occupy about 1,000 printed pages when it was first sent to the Press on 18th May 1926, was subsequently added to in the composing stage, so that the bulk of the volume swelled to thrice its original estimate, i. e., to 3,300 pages, which rendered it impossible for the Press to gauge the quantity of matter to be dealt with and to make suitable arrangements to handle it promptly. All that it could possibly do was to compose as the matter was received and print off when proofs were passed for the Press. -- This procedure entailed considerable delay.

Finally, as each volume was completely printed, its index, addenda and corrigenda, and other supplemental matter was newly prepared and sent to Press. This was a difficult and laborious piece of work both from the editorial and the printing point of view and naturally took time to complete.

For the foregoing reasons, I beg to submit that interruptions and delays were unavoidable as the work progressed through the Press. However, both the Editor and the Press have cordially co-operated with each other in bringing to -- completion a work that has taxed the energy and erudition of the one and the capacity and resources of the other.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent,
Government Printing.

ks

D.v.s.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT..

Diary No. of letter replied to..............................

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193

Date of issue 27.1.31.

Signed by Mr. N. D. Rao

List of enclosures..............................

Copied by..............................

Examined by..............................

Section Gm
File No. 44-30
Serial No. 39
No. G. 7930, 39

To D.O.
~~S M~~

~~All Sections of the S~~

Dear Sir,—

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No G 1750 dated 5-11-30 ~~regarding~~ requesting that a ~~the~~ ~~of a portion~~ ~~payments~~ moiety of the establishment charges incurred by you for Mysore gazetteer work ~~incurred~~ ~~by you~~ for the period from the 1st Dec 1928 to 31st Aug, 30 may be paid by Govt.

I am desired to inform you that the claim

[over

was
is not in order; but has in
view of the special circum-
stances urged by you,
Govt. will be prepared
to pay you a lump sum of
Rs 500/- in final
settlement and that
no further references in
the matter can be considered.

Yours sincerely.

2A

To

[illegible] Rayavedana Rao Esq B.A B.L
Editor [illegible]pore Gazetteer.

26/1/31

GENL.

4871

31 JAN. 1931

NO:G. 1606 (a)

Editor, "Mysore Gazetteer"
19, Gundopunt Buldgs., Siddicutta,
Bangalore City, 29th Janury. 1931

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Offices, BANGALORE.

60/616

31/1/31

Dear Sir,

I write to acknowledge receipt of your D.O. letter No.G.7930/G.M. 44-30-39 dated 27th January 1931 intimating the Government's views in the matter of their meeting a moiety of the establishment charges incurred in connection with the "Mysore Gazetteer" - revision work.

Yours Sincerely,

C. Hayavadana Rao

Editor,
"Mysore Gazetteer."

The G.O. may now issue.
Please put up the file.
31/1

BVN

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Sec. G M

File No. 44-30

Serial No. 64

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 3-2-31.

Signed by Mr. N. D. Rao.

Read—

G.O. No. G. 4083-4 G.M. 44-30-6 dated the 17th October 1930, ~~stating~~ declining amongst other matters to sanction the claim of Mr C. Hayavadana Rao Editor Mysore Gazetteer for a portion of the ~~actual~~ establishment charges for Gazetteer work incurred by him for the period from 1st Dec 1928 to 31st Aug 30

2. Letter No. G 1750 dated the 5th Nov 30 from the Editor Mysore Gazetteer requesting for a reconsideration of the order passed in the

Order Thereon No.

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] paint [illegible]

Rs [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Rs 25000 [illegible]

12 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

List of enclosure

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Copied by

Examined by

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

------:0:------

Read :-

Government Order No. G. 4083-4 G.M. 44-30-6 dated the 17th October 1930 among other matters declining to sanction the claim of Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, Editor, Mysore Gazetteer for a portion of the establishment charges for Gazetteer work incurred by him for the period from the 1st December 1928 to 31st August 1930.

2. Letter No. G. 1750 dated the 5th November 1930 from the Editor, Mysore Gazetteer, requesting for a reconsideration of the order passed in the Government Order read above.

Order thereon No. G 8204-5/G.M 44-30-64

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd February 1931.

On further reconsideration, Government are pleased to sanction a lumpsum grant of Rs. 500/- to Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, B.A., B.L., towards the establishment charges for Gazetteer work referred to by him.

The expenditure will be met from the provision of Rs. 28000/- (Rupees ~~two thousand~~ twenty eight thousand) under contingencies 12 General Administration 4 Civil Secretariats in the current year's budget.

A. Devadan

for Chief Secretary to Government.

P.H.

To

Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, B.A., B.L.,
Editor, Mysore Economic Journal, Bangalore,

The Comptroller to Government through Financial Secretariat, PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

-----:0:-----

Read :-

Government Order No. G. 4083-4 G.M. 44-30-6 dated the 17th October 1930 among other matters declining to sanction the claim of Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, Editor, Mysore Gazetteer for a portion of the establishment charges for Gazetteer work incurred by him for the period from the 1st December 1929 to 31st August 1930.

2. Letter No. G. 1750 dated the 5th November 1930 from the Editor, Mysore Gazetteer, requesting for a reconsideration of the order passed in the Government Order read above.

Order thereon No. G. 8204-5 / G.M 44-30-64

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd February 1931.

On further reconsideration, Government are pleased to sanction a lumpsum grant of Rs. 500/- to Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, B.A., B.L., towards the establishment charges for Gazetteer work referred to by him.

The expenditure will be met from the provision of Rs. 28000/- (Rupees twenty eight thousand) under contingencies 12 General Administration 4 Civil Secretariats in the current year' budget.

for Chief Secretary to Government.

P.H.

To

Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, B.A., B.L.,
Editor, Mysore Economic Journal, Bangalore,

The Comptroller to Government through Financial Secretariat,